

Hardcastle, of Tal.,	Hamilton,	Standish,
Harrington,	Cooper,	Myers,
Woolford,	Morse,	Jordan,
Touchstone,	Webb,	Crouse,
Owens,	Wilson, of B. city,	Merrick,
Richards,	Wiley,	Gordy—59.

So the motion submitted by Mr. Hoblitzell was decided in the negative.

The question then recurring upon the amendment submitted by Mr. Merrick,

On motion of Mr. Hoblitzell,

The yeas and nays were ordered and appeared, as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Bowlus,	Hilton,
Wilmer,	McCreery,	Veitch,
Owings,	Streett,	Watkins,
Shipley,	Hopkins,	Standish,
Hardcastle, of Tal.,	Hardcastle, of Car.,	Winters,
Woolford,	Garey,	Merrick,
Owens,	Hamilton,	Gorman,
Biddle,	Colton,	Crawford,
Thomas, of Q. A.,	Ehlen,	Gordy—28.
Purnell,	Hoblitzell,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Marbury,	Kirk,
Latrobe, Speaker,	Wootton,	Collins,
Loker,	Duvall,	Gardner,
Martin,	Thomas, of Fred.,	Marshall,
Kilbourn,	Ritter,	Neill,
Baldwin, of A. A.,	White,	Welty,
Wells,	Harris,	Murdock,
Mitchell,	Sanner,	Sword,
Cameron,	Cooper,	Seibert,
Gatch,	Morse,	Kean,
Choate,	Webb,	Wilson, of Alle.,
Harrington,	Wilson, of B. city,	Myers,
Touchstone,	Wiley,	Jordan,
Richards,	McLane,	Crouse—41.

So the amendment submitted by Mr. Merrick was not adopted.

Mr. Wootton moved that the further consideration of the bill be postponed, and that it be made the order of the day for to-morrow, Thursday, 24th inst.

The question being upon concurring in the motion submitted by Mr. Wootton,